

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/560,993	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEMAISTRE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert B. Davis	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul F. Neils.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2008**Time:** 12:50**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

9 and 10.

Prior art documents discussed:


None.

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called Mr. Neils to suggest changing the dependency of claims 9 and 10 from claim 1 to claim 3 to provide antecedent basis for "the guide member". Mr. Neils agreed to the changes.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)